

MIDSUMMER AUTHORSHIP CHALLENGE

In June, join our two-week daily challenge to boost your knowledge of 'authorship'. If you fancy a midsummer research integrity refresher, set yourself a reminder for the **start of the challenge on Monday 13 June**. Follow on our Twitter account, [@UKRIO](#), or [LinkedIn](#) [#UKRIOchallenge](#)

DAY 1: 13 JUNE	DAY 2: 14 JUNE	DAY 3: 15 JUNE	DAY 4: 16 JUNE	DAY 5: 17 JUNE
<p>Read the ICMJE statement on authors and contributors. Created by medical editors, do the criteria apply to different fields?</p> <p>https://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/defining-the-role-of-authors-and-contributors.html</p>	<p>Could contributorship replace authorship?</p> <p>https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/417997</p> <p>The CRediT taxonomy defines scholarly contributions. Try using tenzing to implement it.</p> <p>https://credit.niso.org/</p> <p>https://rollercoaster.shinyapps.io/tenzing/</p>	<p>Authorship challenges to avoid conflicts. Print out this infographic from the US Office of Research Integrity and put it up on an office or lab wall.</p> <p>https://ori.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/2018-09/Authorship%20Practices%20to%20Avoid%20Conflicts.pdf</p>	<p>Working with industry. An ISMPP task force looked at what is and isn't a substantial intellectual contribution in industry-sponsored research. Does this help clarify who should be an author?</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2022.2050111</p>	<p>Does number crunching help assess contributions? Check out an authorship metric based on WAME survey:</p> <p>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8450677/</p> <p>Download the calculator at:</p> <p>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Kly-18Mth1dcQ</p>
DAY 6: 18 JUNE	DAY 7: 19 JUNE	DAY 8: 20 JUNE	DAY 9: 21 JUNE	DAY 10: 22 JUNE
<p>Marcia McNutt and colleagues in PNAS endorsed CRediT and tweaked the ICMJE criteria to broaden participation. Does this more inclusive guide better fit researchers' usual authorship practices?</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715374115</p>	<p>A midsummer night's read. Can reading Shakespeare shed light on scholarly authorship? As you from crimes would pardoned be, let your indulgence set me free.</p> <p>https://ukrio.org/research-integrity-resources/authorship/authorship-2-week-challenge/</p>	<p>The Hidden Figures of Genetics. See a 4-min video on the women programmers left as footnotes of population genetics in the '70s:</p> <p>https://vimeo.com/313424402</p> <p>Read more at:</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301277</p>	<p>Ten strategies for avoiding and overcoming authorship conflicts in academic publishing. Read the strategies and print and display the image.</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1139/facets-2021-0103</p>	<p>COPE and Anne Walsh of QUT discuss an authorship investigation triggered by the seemingly innocuous request by a co-author to correct their name:</p> <p>https://publicationethics.org/news/case-discussion-inconclusive-institutional-investigation-authorship-dispute-university</p> <p>What would you have done if you were the editor or a research integrity officer?</p>



Congratulations you have completed the challenge chart! If you would like to speak to the UKRIO team about anything you have learnt this fortnight, please email us info@ukrio.org